

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov		

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

10/782,148 02/19/2004 Albert Wooi Quan Khor 70030623-1 5034

7590 12/16/2005 EXAMINER

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. PRASAD, CHANDRIKA

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC Legal Department, DL429 Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 7599 Loveland, CO 80537-0599

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2839

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	
	Applicant(s)
	KHOR, ALBERT WOOI QUAN
	Art Unit

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/782,148	KHOR, ALBERT WOOI QUAN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chandrika Prasad	2839		

Advisory Addidit	10/762,148 KHOR, ALBERT WOOL (JOI QUAN
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chandrika Prasad	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external house of Appeal has been filed as a second process.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	67 CFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause .
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (DTOL_324\
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inplant Amendment (1 101-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	· ·
		cfraser	/
	•	Chandrika Prasad Examiner Art Unit: 2839	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The introduction of top surface including a protrusion opening for accommodating a vertical protrusion of the optical fiber receptacle introduces new issues requiring further search/consideration..